OPINION 2014 (Case 3136)

Crotaphytus vestigium Smith & Tanner, 1972 (Reptilia, Squamata): specific name conserved

Keywords. Nomenclature; taxonomy; Reptilia; Squamata; CROTAPHYTIDAE; Crotaphytus fasciolatus; Crotaphytus vestigium; Mexico; California.

Ruling

- (1) Under the plenary power the specific name *fasciolatus* Mocquard, 1903, as published in the binomen *Crotaphytus fasciolatus*, is hereby suppressed for the purposes of the Principle of Priority but not for those of the Principle of Homonymy.
- (2) The name *vestigium* Smith & Tanner, 1972, as published in the trinomen *Crotaphytus insularis vestigium*, is hereby placed on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology.
- (3) The following names are hereby placed on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Specific Names in Zoology:
 - (a) fasciolatus Mocquard, 1903, as published in the binomen Crotaphytus fasciolatus and as suppressed in (1) above;
 - (b) fasciatus Mocquard, 1899, as published in the binomen Crotaphytus fasciatus (a junior primary homonym of Crotaphytus fasciatus Hallowell, 1853).

History of Case 3136

An application for the conservation of the specific name of *Crotaphytus vestigium* Smith & Tanner, 1972 was received from Dr J.A. McGuire (*Division of Natural Sciences, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, U.S.A.*) on 23 August 1999. After correspondence the case was published in BZN 57: 158–161 (September 2000). Notice of the case was sent to appropriate journals.

An opposing comment was published in BZN 58: 59 (March 2001). A reply from the author of the application, together with a supportive comment, was published at the same time.

Decision of the Commission

On 1 December 2001 the members of the Commission were invited to vote on the proposals published in BZN 57: 159. At the close of the voting period on 1 March 2002 the votes were as follows:

Affirmative votes – 17: Bock, Böhme, Brothers, Calder, Eschmeyer, Evenhuis, Fortey, Halliday, Kraus, Macpherson, Mahnert, Mawatari, Nielsen, Papp, Patterson, Song, Štys

Negative votes – 7: Alonso–Zarazaga, Bouchet, Cogger, Lamas, Martins de Souza, Minelli and van Tol.

No votes were received from Dupuis, Kerzhner, Ng and Rosenberg.

Cogger commented: 'It is misleading to assert (para. 5 of the application) that 'the name *Crotaphytus fasciolatus* Mocquard, 1903 has never been used for the species for

which it was intended, during a period of nearly 100 years by virtue of having been incorrectly assigned to the synonymy of *Gambelia wizlizenii* (Baird & Girard, 1852), because the species to which it was applied was itself not recognised by herpetologists for a long time. This fact alone suggests that the taxonomy of this group of lizards will still undergo significant changes, so that the suppression of the senior subjective synonym *C. fasciolatus* is unwarranted. For the sake of the issues of stability and universality identified by the applicant I would agree to priority being given to the younger name *C. vestigium* by authors who consider the latter and *C. fasciatus* to be synonyms'.

Original references

The following are the original references to the names placed on an Official List and an Official Index by the ruling given in the present Opinion:

fasciatus, Crotaphytus, Mocquard, 1899, Nouvelles Archives du Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, (4)1: 303.

fasciolatus, Crotaphytus, Mocquard, 1903, Bulletin du Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, 9: 209.

vestigium, Crotaphytus insularis, Smith & Tanner, 1972, Great Basin Naturalist, 32: 29.